## **Deposition of Gary D. Kleck**

Oregon Firearms Federation, Inc., et al. v. Brown, et al.

January 25, 2023



206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 <u>www.buellrealtime.com</u>

email: info@buellrealtime.com



Gary D. Kleck

	Page 1
IN THE UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT	' OF OREGON
OREGON FIREARMS FEDERATION, INC., et al.,  Plaintiffs,  V.  KATE BROWN, et al.,	) ) ) ) Case No. ) 2:22-cv-01815-IM ) 3:22-cv-01859-IM ) 3:22-cv-01862-IM ) 3:22-cv-01869-IM
Defendants.  (Continued)	) . ) ) )
* VIDEOCONFERE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION UPON OF EXPERT GARY D. KI	N ORAL EXAMINATION T
Witness locate	ed in:
Tallahassee, F	Florida
* All participants appeared	. via videoconference *
DATE TAKEN: January 25, 2023  REPORTED BY: Tia B. Reidt, Wash Oreg	hington RPR, CSR #2798 gon #22-0001

Gary D. Kleck

		Page 2
1 2	(Continued)	)
3	MARK FITZ, et al.,	)
4	Plaintiffs, V.	) ) )
5	ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al.,	)
6	Defendants.	)
7	KATERINA B. EYRE, et al.,	)
9	Plaintiffs, v.	) )
10	ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al.,	)
11	Defendants.	)
12	DANIEL AZZOPARDI, et al.,	)
13	Plaintiffs, V.	) )
14	ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM, et al.,	)
15	Defendants.	)
16 17		
18		
19		
20 21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Gary D. Kleck

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES
2	For Oregon Firearms Federation:
3	LEONARD WILLIAMSON VAN NESS WILLIAMSON
4	960 Liberty Street SE, Suite 100 Salem, OR 97302
5	(503) 365-8800 L.williamson@vwllp.com
6	
7 8	For the non-intervenor defendants, governor, the Attorney General, and the superintendent of the Oregon state police:
9	BRIAN MARSHALL
10	OREGON DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE SPECIAL LITIGATION UNIT
10	100 SW Market Street
11	Portland, OR 97201 (971) 673-1800
12	Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us
13	
1.1	For the Proposed Intervenor-Defendant Oregon Alliance For Gun Safety:
14 15	ZACHARY J. PEKELIS
13	W. SCOTT FERRON
16	PACIFICA LAW GROUP 1191 Second Avenue, Suite 2000
17	Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 245-1700
18	Zach.Pekelis@PacificaLawGroup.com
19	Videographer:
20	BROOK YOUNG
21	Buell Realtime Reporting 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840
22	Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 287-9066
23	Brook@BuellRealtime.com
24	* * * *
25	* * * * *

Gary D. Kleck

			Page 4
1		EXAMINATION INDEX	
2	EXAMINATION	BY: PAGE	
3	Mr. Marshall	7	
4	Mr. Pekelis	87	
5		EXHIBIT INDEX	
6			
7	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
8	EXHIBIT 33	Declaration of Gary Kleck.	8
9	EXHIBIT 34	Email re: Zoom Deposition link and deposition rule(s) reminder.	8
10 11	EXHIBIT 35	Deposition transcript of Gary Kleck dated 9/29/22.	9
12	EXHIBIT 36	Supplemental Declaration of Lucy P. Allen.	14
13 14	EXHIBIT 37	Supplemental Declaration of Louis Klarevas.	69
15	EXHIBIT 38	Article "Mass Shootings in the United States Involving Large	38
16		Capacity Ammunition Magazines."	4.5
<ul><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	EXHIBIT 39	Article "As gunman sprayed school with bullets, quick action prevented mass	45
19		bloodshed."	
20	EXHIBIT 40	Article "Colorado at Colorado Springs shooter who killed 6	47
21		at party control party had 'displayed power and control issues,' say issues," police say.	
22			
23	EXHIBIT 41	Article "Large-Capacity Magazines and the Casualty Counts in Mass Shootings: The	57
24 25		Plausibility of Linkages."	

Gary D. Kleck

			Page 5
1		EXHIBIT INDEX CONTINUED	
3	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4	EXHIBIT 42	Court transcript.	82
5 6	EXHIBIT 43	Article "Large-Capacity Magazines and the Casualty Counts in Mass Shootings: The Plausibility of	87
7	EVIITETT AA	Linkages."	0.4
8	EXHIBIT 44	Article "Before Attack, Parents of Gunman Tried to Address Son's Strange Behavior.	94
9	EXHIBIT 45	Article "Man who tackled shooter in Gabrielle Giffords attack dies.	98
11	EXHIBIT 46	Article "Graphic Testimony at Colorado Gun-Control Trial.	105
<ul><li>12</li><li>13</li><li>14</li></ul>	EXHIBIT 47	A Study of Active Shooter Incidents in the United States Between 2000 and 2013 dated 9/16/2013.	113
15 16	EXHIBIT 48	Article "Professor John Donohue: Facts Do Not Support Claim That Guns Make Us Safer."	123
17	EXHIBIT 49	Article "Mom: My son shouted for kids to flee Newtown gunman.	136
18 19	EXHIBIT 50	Report of the State's Attorney for the Judicial District of	140
20		Danbury on the Shootings at Sandy Hook Elementary School and 36 Yogananda Street,	
21		Newtown, Connecticut on December 14, 2012.	
22			
23 24			
25			
-			

Gary D. Kleck

Page 33

the left column of Table 1?

A. I just went through their listing year by year of mass shootings.

And by the way, when -- I first did this quite a few years back. So at one point they had 2013 data, which is why my Table 1 has data for 2013. But if you consult recent versions of that database, for some reason or another, they don't have 2013 anymore. I don't know if it's because they thought, you know, their compilation was suddenly unreliable or whatever, but...

So, you know, and this is a -- this is a table I've been working with for years, not just in recent legal cases. And so each of those numbers in the mass shootings column could change, and it's not -- it's not necessarily what I compiled in, I don't know, like, January of 2022. That's not necessarily what's reflected in the table because I worked on it in earlier years as well.

Q. Take me through the process of how you consulted the data.

A. Just going through the Gun Violence Archive listing of individual mass shootings. And, you know, the vast majority of what they classify as mass shootings, they don't involve four or more dead. That

Gary D. Kleck

Page 34

is, they're not limited to cases that -- that I would have -- that met my criterion. And so it's a matter of wading through them, looking for the ones where four or more were killed.

And then among the ones where it was exactly four, you've also got to check to see whether or not the -- that count includes killings of people other than the victims. It's not just a victim count. Sometimes it includes suspects. Something I didn't realize in the early years, by the way. And then later on, it became evident, oh, they're also counting deaths of suspects, I think in response to criticism as to what their death count totals are.

So where it's borderline and it barely meets the criterion of four, then I would have to look at the details of the incident and confirm that it involved four or more victims. But that was a real problem for the early years.

Q. In the current dataset, are victims coded -- sorry. Are -- I withdraw that.

In the current Gun Violence Archive data, are shooters categorized in number killed?

A. Yes. In -- in the sort of brief summary of each mass shooting, number of fatalities would include any suspects killed. But then you can -- you can click

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

Gary D. Kleck

Page 35 on details of the incident and get further information. And usually it's possible, at least in recent years, to get which -- which victims were suspects and which were victims. So there are some instances where the Gun Ο. Violence Archive lists four killings, but they are not reflected in Table 1? They would be in Table 1. But the problem is that some of those numbers would include counts of suspects as well as victims. Q. Did you download the data when you produced Table 1? I wouldn't call it "downloading." It simply reading it off of a computer screen and making tick marks, tally marks, to count up the number of incidents.

- O. You didn't download a .csv file?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. And your best recollection is you did this in January of 2022?
  - A. That's probably the most recent one I've done for recent years, but I did it -- earlier parts of that table for the earlier years prior to that going --
- Q. Is -- is your typical professional practice
  not to keep the data that you rely on in your scholarly

Gary D. Kleck

	Page 36
1	work?
2	A. Not at all.
3	Q. Maybe I asked a bad question there.
4	Do you typically keep the data that you rely
5	on for your scholarly work?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Did you keep the data in this instance?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. How so?
10	A. Well, I mean, you can see it in Table 1. I
11	mean, those are those are simply the numbers that I
12	counted up. So that is the original data.
13	Q. But the those numbers can't be reproduced
14	by looking at the Gun Violence Archive today, can they?
15	A. Yeah, you could you could certainly test
16	them. In other words, if the violence policy
17	archive I'm sorry, the Gun Violence Archive people
18	hadn't revised anything, then the numbers should pretty
19	much jibe what you would get going through their
20	current database would jibe with what's in Table 1.
21	But as I say, unfortunately, they're always revising,
22	and so there can be a little bit of deviation from
23	what's shown in Table 1 and what's in the Gun Violence
24	Archive database at any one moment in time.
25	Q. Wouldn't that be a good reason to preserve the

Gary D. Kleck

Page 37

	1811	İ
1	actual observations that you were relying on when you	
2	were producing Table 1?	
3	A. Sure.	
4	Q. But you chose not to?	
5	A. No, I did.	
6	And again, what you see in Table 1 is it.	
7	Q. You have no record of what 21 mass shootings	
8	you're counting for year 2020 in this data; right?	
9	A. I don't think so. I don't in other words,	
10	places and dates and so on, that kind of detail?	
11	Q. Right.	
12	A. No. No, it was just a tabulation.	
13	Q. So if it shows 23 now, the likely explanation	
14	is that Gun Violence Archive updated its database to	
15	add two additional shootings?	
16	A. Yeah, or there were there are changes in	
17	details as to what they were counting, victims versus	
18	suspects. That's that can change. I think what	
19	sometimes happens, I've seen some indications that	
20	they'll get additional media reports submitted to them	
21	where it provides more detail, and it becomes apparent,	
22	oh, yeah, that guy was a suspect that victim was a	
23	suspect, not a victim in the ordinary sense.	
24	Q. Can you infer from Table 1 of your declaration	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC 206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

how many mass shootings did not use a large-capacity

25

Gary D. Kleck

Page 76 the criticisms, which is not a scholarly practice. 1 know, scholars will respond to criticism with some kind 2 of a rebuttal, but those who are big fans of the notion 3 that defensive gun use is rare simply don't respond. 4 Are you familiar with William English of 5 Georgetown University? 6 Α. Yeah. Yeah. 7 Are you familiar with the 2021 National 8 Firearms Survey he's posted on SSRN? 9 Yeah. Α. 10 What do you think of that survey? Ο. 11 Α. I don't think you can rely on it. 12 Why not? Q. 13 He's vague about exactly how he developed his 14 And there's nothing in his report to sample. 15 contradict the assumption that what he had was a 16 self-selected sample, where people were in effect --17 who arrived at, let's say, a website were invited to 18 19 participate. And that's not a valid sample technique to generate a sample that's representative of the 20 larger US population. 21 Why does that matter? 22 Well, because you can't then generalize the 23 results from your sample to the population as a whole. 24 You only know, well, this was what was true in my 25

Gary D. Kleck

		Page 77
	1	sample, which was to some extent self-selected, but you
	2	can't know that it applies in any way, shape, or form,
	3	to the US population as a whole.
	4	Q. Do you have other concerns with - with the
	5	2021 National Firearms Survey that Dr. English posted
	6	on SSRN?
	7	A. No. That's sufficient.
	8	Q. Without without that information that is
	9	missing, you would not rely on that survey for any
1	LO	purpose?
1	l1	A. That is correct. I would not rely.
1	12	Q. Your thesis is that about the NRA defensive
1	L3	use data, that NRA staff intentionally omit stories of
1	L4	defensive gun use that have greater than ten rounds
1	L5	fired?
1	۱6	A. I think they omit any kind of an incident that
1	L7	would make defensive gun use look less reputable, less
1	18	responsible. If there's an excessive number of rounds
1	19	fired, they would be very reluctant to include that
2	20	among the incidents they publicize by putting it into
2	21	the armed citizen column.
2	22	Q. Why do you think that firing more than ten
2	23	rounds is excessive?
2	24	A. Well, it's unusual, for starters. And many
2	25	people would interpret it as indiscriminate fire. They

Gary D. Kleck

	Page 151
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	COUNTY OF PIERCE
5	
6	I, Tia Reidt, a Certified Court Reporter in and
7	for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the
8	foregoing transcript of the deposition of GARY D. KLECK,
9	having been duly sworn, on January 25, 2023, is true and
10	accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
11	Reading and signing was requested pursuant to
12	FRCP Rule 30(e).
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
L4	and seal this 1st day of February, 2023.
15	иртса
L6	out of the secretary of
17	
18	_ Uld D. Kera
19	/S/ Tia B. Reidt Tia B. Reidt, RPR, CSR #22-0001
20	NOTARY PUBLIC, State of Washington.
21	My commission expires 5/15/2026.
22	5/15/2020.
23	
24	
25	